

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,791	03/30/2004	Francis G. McCabe	073338.0180 (04-50100 FLA	4222
5073 7590 02/26/2009 BAKER BOTTS LL.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			VETTER, DANIEL	
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			3628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/812.791 MCCABE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit DANIEL P. VETTER 3628 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) DANIEL P. VETTER. (3) KEIKO ICHIYE. (2) ROSHAN MANSINGHANI. (4)____. Date of Interview: 17 February 2009. c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ___ Claim(s) discussed: 27 and 35. Identification of prior art discussed: DeLorme. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Confirmed with applicant that there is no 101 rejection currently on the record. Suggested amendments to claim 35 in order to overcome 112 2nd paragraph rejection. Applicant possible distinctions between the claimed "agents" and the software modules set forth in DeLorme. Examiner maintained that DeLorme discloses the "agents" as currently recited (e.g., in claim 27). (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John W Hayes/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628